

News (cont. from p. 583)

national constant and to test the inverse-square law.

It is not clear that the U.S. Department of Energy will be able to fund the new facility, but the possibility exists. Experiments will be done to attempt the observation of nucleon-decay chains, which are predicted by the grand, unified theories of physics. That all of these long-term, fundamental measurements will be able to survive funding competition against the current round of "accelerator physics" proposals is in doubt. —PMB

Naval Research Fellowships

The American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE) is seeking applicants for 40 fellowships that will be awarded by the Office of Naval Research (ONR) in 1984. This program is designed to increase the number of U.S. citizens doing graduate work in such fields as ocean engineering, applied physics, electrical engineering, computer science, naval architecture, materials science, and aerospace and mechanical engineering. The fellowships are awarded on the recommendation of a panel of scientists and engineers convened by the ASEE. The deadline for applications is February 15, 1984.

The program is open to graduating seniors who already have or will shortly have baccalaureates in disciplines vital to the research needs of the Navy and critical to national defense. As a reflection of the quality of the program, 1983 fellows had an average cumulative grade point average of 3.88; nine had a perfect 4.0.

Each fellow will receive an annual stipend of \$12,500, and the ONR will pay tuition and fees and provide \$2,000 in the department in which the fellow will pursue graduate studies. The Navy also encourages fellows to conduct research at its laboratories during the summer.

For more information about the program contact John Lisack, Jr., Director, Membership, Projects, and Federal Relations, The American Society for Engineering Education, Suite 200, 11 Dupont Circle, Washington, DC 20036 (telephone: (202) 292-7080).

ICSU Press

The International Council of Scientific Unions (ICSU) has established a publishing arm called ICSU Press. The Press is intended to complement the publishing activities of its member scientific unions in several ways: initiate special publications of research findings and new journals of reviews or research; advise, or act as publishers for, members requesting such services; and engage in copublishing ventures with international bodies outside of ICSU whose goals are consistent with ICSU's.

Plans for ICSU Press also include preparation of television programs in cooperation with BBC-2 in Britain and PBS and ABC in the United States.

ICSU, an international, nongovernmental organization founded in 1931, is composed of 20 international scientific unions (including AGU), 66 national members, and 17 scientific and 4 national associates. Further information may be obtained from F. W. G. Baker, Executive Secretary, ICSU, 51 Boulevard de Montmorency, 75016 Paris, France.

Geophysical Events

This is a summary of *SEAN Bulletin*, 8(8), August 31, 1983, a publication of the Smithsonian Institution's Scientific Event Alert Network. The complete Mount St. Helens, Macdonald, Teahitia, and Puncie Raft reports are included; the earthquake report is

an excerpt. The complete bulletin is available in the microfiche edition of *Eos* as a microfiche supplement or as a paper reprint. For the microfiche, order document E83-009 at \$2.50 [U.S.] from AGU Fulfillment, 2000 Florida Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20009. For the paper reprint, order *SEAN Bulletin* (lighting volume and issue numbers and issue dates) through AGU Separates at the above address; the price is \$3.50 for one copy of each issue number for those who do not have a deposit account, \$2 for those who do; additional copies of each issue number are \$1. Subscriptions to *SEAN Bulletin* are available from AGU Fulfillment at the above address; the price is \$18 for 12 monthly issues mailed to a U.S. address, \$28 if mailed elsewhere, and must be prepaid.

Volcanic Events

Una Una (Indonesia): Continued explosive activity seen on satellite images; numerous magnitude 5 earthquakes. Ililobeng (Indonesia): Small plume photographed by Space Shuttle astronauts. Ilwering (Indonesia): Submarine explosions. Tangkuban Parahu (Indonesia): Increased seismicity; thermal activity; inflation. Kilauea (Hawaii): 7th, 8th, and 9th major episodes produce lava flows extending NE and S from spatter cone. Mt. St. Helens (Washington): Lava extrusion continues; internal dome growth accelerates; small fluidized avalanches; vapor and ash plumes. Okmok (Aleutians): Possible eruption plume on satellite imagery.

Veniaminof (Alaska): Lava flow and ash emission stop; tremor summarized. Macdonald (S-central Pacific): Renewed submarine activity.

Teahitia (French Polynesia): Shallow earthquakes and high-frequency tremor. Puncie Raft (S Pacific): Puncie in the Tuamotu Archipelago; source unknown. Pagan (Mariana Is.): Ash cloud seen from aircraft.

Langila (New Britain): More, stronger explosions; ashfalls to 10 km. Manam (Bismarck Sea): Emissions increase slightly; B-type events continue.

Ruapahu (New Zealand): Upwelling in crater lake; slight inflation.

Etna (Italy): No new activity; addition to last month's figure caption.

Atmospheric Effects: June/July balloon data show new layers near tropopause; only El Chichón aerosols detected by lidar in August.

Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii, USA (19.42°N, 155.27°W). Correction: In the *Eos* summary of the June 30, 1983, *SEAN Bulletin* (Eos, August 9, 1983, p. 500), the rate of SO₂ emission on June 30 and July 1 was incorrectly reported as 7200 metric tons per day. The correct figure is 8000 metric tons per day.

Mt. St. Helens Volcano, Cascade Range, S Washington, USA (46.20°N, 122.18°W). Until February 1983, growth of the composite lava dome had occurred in a series of brief extrusion episodes, preceded by several weeks of increasingly rapid internal dome growth that stopped suddenly when lava reached the airface. However, internal growth did not cease with the onset of the February extrusion episode (see *SEAN Bulletin* v. 8, nos. 1-3); it continued as spines were extruded in April, and a new lobe emerged onto the dome's NE flank about May 1. New lava was still being added to this lobe in early September and deformation of other parts of the dome was accelerating.

The front of the active lobe moved down the NE flank at about 1 m per day in August, roughly the same rate as in July. Rockfalls from the lobe's leading edge appeared to decline in July and August but continued to remove some material, reducing the lava's net August advance to 20-25 m.

Rates of outward movement of survey targets on the S, SE, and N flanks of the dome began an irregular increase about July 8 and by early September had reached nearly 11 cm per day high on the S side. No acceleration of

Dr. Mayaud presents a historical review of Indianes officially recognized by the International Association of Geomagnetism and Aeronomy.

This definitive resource text provides all scientists with a thorough state-of-the-art description of geomagnetism and its history.

• Hardcover • 194 pp • 36 tables • fully referenced and indexed • \$26.

AGU members receive 50% discount. Orders under \$50 must be prepaid.

American Geophysical Union, 2000 Florida Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20008. Call 800-424-4488, 402-8908 local.

AGU members receive 50% discount. Orders under \$50 must be prepaid.

American Geophysical Union, 2000 Florida Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20008. Call 800-424-4488, 402-8908 local.

American Geophysical Union, 2000 Florida Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20008. Call 800-424-4488, 402-8908 local.

American Geophysical Union, 2000 Florida Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20008. Call 800-424-4488, 402-8908 local.

American Geophysical Union, 2000 Florida Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20008. Call 800-424-4488, 402-8908 local.

American Geophysical Union, 2000 Florida Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20008. Call 800-424-4488, 402-8908 local.

American Geophysical Union, 2000 Florida Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20008. Call 800-424-4488, 402-8908 local.

American Geophysical Union, 2000 Florida Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20008. Call 800-424-4488, 402-8908 local.

Earthquakes

Date	Time (UT)	Magnitude	Latitude	Longitude	Depth of Focus	Region
August 6	1544	7.1M _s	40.09°N	34.73°E	10 km	N. Aegean Sea
August 8	0348	5.8M _s	35.47°N	138.91°E	49 km	Honshu, Japan
August 17	1056	6.5M _s	35.67°N	161.51°E	120 km	Kamshaka, USSR
August 17	1218	6.7M _s	18.13°N	121.93°E	shallow	Luzon, Philippines

endogenous growth was observed in the area of most rapid deformation, below the active lobe on the NE flank, where rates averaged 60 cm per day. Movement of crater floor stations N and S of the lobe was first detected around early August, gradually increasing into the millimeters-per-day range by early September. The pattern of increasing deformation was generally similar to periods that preceded extrusion of new lobes in 1981 and 1982. However, Donald Swanson noted that the irregular acceleration of endogenous growth contrasted with the quiet steady increase measured before 1981-1982 extrusion episodes and that it was continuing after 2 months without the onset of new extrusion, exceeding the typical 1 month-6 week durations of the 1981-1982 premonitory periods.

Numerous rockfalls, some quite large, occurred from a N flank notch that was propagating uplope toward the dome's extrusive vent. This activity built a large, structurally unstable talus slope of hot blocks. Upon reaching the talus, some rockfalls became fluidized, probably by entrainment of heated air from between talus boulders. Early August 12, Daniel Dzurin observed a group of large boulders from the notch bounce onto the talus. A few seconds later, a second rockfall reached the talus and fluidized. An ash cloud quickly formed over the avalanche and moved downslope at the same speed as the entrained boulders, stopping as they came to rest. The avalanche formed a lobate deposit with marginal levees ≤ 1 m high. Fine particles extended to roughly the distal end of the boulder deposit. Ash clouds formed by smaller avalanches were diffuse enough so that boulders could be seen rolling slowly downslope; these avalanches seemed to be only partially fluidized. The avalanches traveled no more than several hundred meters beyond the base of the talus, into the large breach on the N side of the crater. For several days after a large rockfall, avalanches occurred roughly every 2 hours, but declined in 1-2 per day during quiet periods.

Occasional ejection of steam and ash plumes continued from several vents in the broad summit region of the dome. The number of plumes varied from day to day but generally ranged from 3 to 6 daily and remained relatively unchanged through the summer. Plumes typically rose about 1 km above the dome, and deposits were usually limited to the area of the dome's summit. No projectiles from these plumes reached the crater floor in August. Tom Casadevall reported that COSPEC measurements indicate that the volcano emits more SO₂ while plumes are being ejected than during quiet periods; on August 18 a plume briefly produced a 4-fold increase in SO₂ emission. However, plume events normally last only 15-20 minutes, and the excess SO₂ values decay exponentially, so they do not have a large effect on daily gas flux. The rate of SO₂ emission averaged 70 \pm 50 metric tons per day in August, ranging from 40 to 90 tons per day most of the month, but measurements between August 18 and 23 yielded values of more than 150 tons per day.

August seismic activity was generally similar to that of July. A substantial increase in surface events was recorded, but was thought to reflect increased avalanching from the crater walls as warm weather melted snow on the rim. For about 10 days in late August the number of earthquakes and the rate of seismic energy release increased slightly but declined to previous levels by early September. Information Contacts: Tom Casadevall, Daniel Dzurin, and Donald Swanson, USGS Cascades Volcano Observatory, 5400 MacArthur Blvd., Vancouver, WA 98661 USA; Steven Malone, Geophysics Program, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195 USA.

Macdonald Seamount, south-central Pacific Ocean (28.98°S, 140.23°W). In May, the Réseau Sismique Polyésien recorded seismicity from renewed eruptive activity at Macdonald. Its eight previous eruptions had begun with explosive events, but the May activity did not and probably was a continuation of the March eruption (see *SEAN Bulletin*, v. 8, no. 4). Reconnaissance by a Marine National Française vessel did not show a perceptible increase in the volcano's summit altitude since the bathymetric survey of February 1982. Macdonald was discovered after hydrophones recorded sounds accompanying an eruption on May 29 1987.

Information Contact: J. M. Talandier, Directeur, Laboratoire de Géophysique, Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique, B.P. 640, Palaiseau, Tahiti, Polynésie Française.

Teahitia Volcano, Society Islands, French Polynesia, S Pacific Ocean (17.57°S, 148.86°W). Between July 11 and 9, the Réseau Sismique Polyésien (RSP) recorded 5,000-4,000 shallow earthquakes at Teahitia, accompanied by high-frequency volcanic tremor. Teahitia, a seamount with a summit about 2 km below sea level, was the site of strong seismicity associated with a submarine eruption detected by the RSP in March-April 1982 (see *SEAN Bulletin*, v. 7, no. 4).

Information Contact: Same as for Macdonald.

Puncie Raft, S Pacific Ocean. While traveling E of the Kermadec Islands on April 6, Captain J. McInnis of the yacht *Caribou's Nest* encountered a roughly 1-hectare area of small pieces of pumice at 27.58°S, 177.40°E, in which he noted some bubbling but no smells (see *SEAN Bulletin*, v. 8, no. 4). The source of the pumice remains unknown. Analysis of March and April records from the Réseau Sismique Polyésien (RSP) revealed no acoustic waves (T-phase) from eruptions other than that of Macdonald Seamount (see *SEAN Bulletin*, v. 8, no. 4). However, the numerous small islands in the area of the Kermadec, Tonga, Samoa, and Fiji interfere with acoustic waves, preventing effective T-phase monitoring of volcanic activity in some parts of the S Pacific. J. Talandier notes that measurements of surface currents in French Polynesia and similar latitudes suggest that pumice from Macdonald should drift eastward, away from the April 6 site.

Pumice came ashore at both the SE and NW ends of the Tuamotu Archipelago, on the Gambier Islands (23.15°S, 134.97°W), and at Rangiroa (15.00°S, 147.67°W), 4800 km E and 3900 km ESE of the April 6 observation. No information on the amount of pumice or the date of its arrival at these locations was available. Talandier noted that Rangiroa is very remote from known active volcanoes other than those in the Meleia region, where eruptions occur at depths that are too great for production of pumice.

Information Contact: Same as for Macdonald.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Information Contact: National Earthquake Information Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Stop 917, Denver Federal Center, Box 25016, Denver, CO 80225 USA.

Books

Water and Western Energy: Impacts, Issues, and Choices

See *Water Policy and Management*, vol. 1, S. C. Ballard and M. D. Devine et al., Westview Press, Boulder, Colo., xxix + 321 pp., 1982, \$27.50.

Reviewed by Yacov Y. Haines

Since the 1973 oil embargo numerous studies have been commissioned on the subject of water and energy, and thus the proliferation of books and reports on associated problems is not surprising. The importance of the issue at stake and the realization that we were relatively unprepared to deal with the anticipated high level of future coal and shale development in the West altered our perspective of many water-energy issues; the issues were elevated, at least in some quarters, from the level of a common planning problem to the level of a crisis. For those of us who were captured in this syndrome and were a part of these "crisis studies," this document inescapably brings a sense of déjà vu.

The review of books serves multiple goals and purposes for readers as well as authors. For example, when I read book reviews in *Eos*, I am most interested in one that briefly introduces the book's topics, indicates the depth and breadth of the discussion, constructively highlights the major attributes and limitations of the book, critically evaluates the book as a whole, and, if possible, suggests other documents that either supplement or complement the book's writings. I will attempt to do just this.

Water and Western Energy is a summary of a series of studies on the subject conducted by

the authors for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency during the late seventies. The studies were focused on the following premises:

Water availability and quality will be among the most critical problems associated with expanded western energy development. Although water has always been scarce in the West, enough has generally existed to provide supplies to a substantial number of users, primarily irrigated agriculture and municipalities. However, the central question regarding future development of the region is whether or not enough water exists to support traditional users and the growing demands of energy development, other industrial development, defense installations, Indians, environmental interests, and others.

Throughout the book, the following issues and policy alternatives have been addressed. Issues: Water requirements for energy development, pollution from energy facilities, increasing demands for water use, reserved water rights, the uncertainty and complexity of the water policy system, and salinity control.

Policy alternatives: Water conservation, augmentation of supply, water quality protection, administration and management at the state level, and regional and federal roles.

The breadth of the topics studied and the complexities involved in the formulation of water resource policies that are responsive to regional differences, sectoral competition for water, institutional constraints and opportunities, socioeconomic considerations, environmental protection, and political realizations necessitate a rather shallow discussion of the issues. Indeed, the book provides a comprehensive overview of the problems associated with water and energy development in the western part of the country. Thus, as a compact compendium of statistical data and other valuable basic information concerning the interplay between water and energy, the book can be very helpful and useful. On the other hand, the efficacy of the substantial effort spent by the authors on the development of alternative policy options cannot be fully appreciated by the reader for at least this reason: the following two reasons.

First, the alternative policy options formulated during the study (and documented in this book) are not adequately analyzed in terms of their impacts. Consequently, these options lose much of the value in terms of understanding their genesis, rationale, and associated trade-offs. Second, the alternative

policy options were formulated during the Carter administration—an era markedly different from the present one—so that many of the policies discussed in the book are of a somewhat academic nature and suffer from a lack of relevance to the world of today. The reference in the book to the Office of Water Research and Technology—which has been abolished in the meantime by Secretary of the Interior James Watt—is a case in point.

The book is rich in valuable summary tables, and, although it is written by 10 authors, it reads very smoothly. The authors should be complimented on producing a unified document on diverse and complex subjects. Finally, the impressive list of references should be most valuable to those interested in pursuing the subject further. Other related reports on the subject include the following documents:

U.S. Department of Energy, *Institutional Constraints on Alternative Water for Energy*, DOE/EV/10180-1, November 1980.

U.S. Department of Energy, *Water Supply and Demand in an Energy Supply Model*, DOE/EV/10180-2, December 1980.

U.S. Department of Energy, *Water Quality Issues and Energy Assessment*, DOE/EV/10154-1, November 1980.

U.S. Department of Energy, *Ground Water and Energy*, CONF-810137, November 1980.

U.S. Department of Energy, *Water Related Planning and Design at Energy Firms*, DOE/EV/10180-1, November 1980.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Water and Western Energy: Impacts, Issues, and Choices

See *Water Policy and Management*, vol. 1, S. C. Ballard and M. D. Devine et al., Westview Press, Boulder, Colo., xxix + 321 pp., 1982, \$27.50.

Reviewed by Yacov Y. Haines

Since the 1973 oil embargo numerous studies have been commissioned on the subject of water and energy, and thus the proliferation of books and reports on associated problems is not surprising. The importance of the issue at stake and the realization that we were relatively unprepared to deal with the anticipated high level of future coal and shale development in the West altered our perspective of many water-energy issues; the issues were elevated, at least in some quarters, from the level of a common planning problem to the level of a crisis. For those of us who were captured in this syndrome and were a part of these "crisis studies," this document inescapably brings a sense of déjà vu.

The review of books serves multiple goals and purposes for readers as well as authors. For example, when I read book reviews in *Eos*, I am most interested in one that briefly introduces the book's topics, indicates the depth and breadth of the discussion, constructively highlights the major attributes and limitations of the book, critically evaluates the book as a whole, and, if possible, suggests other documents that either supplement or complement the book's writings. I will attempt to do just this.

Water and Western Energy is a summary of a series of studies on the subject conducted by

the authors for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency during the late seventies. The studies were focused on the following premises:

Water availability and quality will be among the most critical problems associated with expanded western energy development. Although water has always been scarce in the West, enough has generally existed to provide supplies to a substantial number of users, primarily irrigated agriculture and municipalities. However, the central question regarding future development of the region is whether or not enough water exists to support traditional users and the growing demands of energy development, other industrial development, defense installations, Indians, environmental interests, and others.

Throughout the book, the following issues and policy alternatives have been addressed. Issues: Water requirements for energy development, pollution from energy facilities, increasing demands for water use, reserved water rights, the uncertainty and complexity of the water policy system, and salinity control.

Policy alternatives: Water conservation, augmentation of supply, water quality protection, administration and management at the state level, and regional and federal roles.

The breadth of the topics studied and the complexities involved in the formulation of water resource policies that are responsive to regional differences, sectoral competition for water, institutional constraints and opportunities, socioeconomic considerations, environmental protection, and political realizations necessitate a rather shallow discussion of the issues. Indeed, the book provides a comprehensive overview of the problems associated with water and energy development in the western part of the country. Thus, as a compact compendium of statistical data and other valuable basic information concerning the interplay between water and energy, the book can be very helpful and useful. On the other hand, the efficacy of the substantial effort spent by the authors on the development of alternative policy options cannot be fully appreciated by the reader for at least this reason: the following two reasons.

First, the alternative policy options formulated during the study (and documented in this book) are not adequately analyzed in terms of their impacts. Consequently, these options lose much of the value in terms of understanding their genesis, rationale, and associated trade-offs. Second, the alternative

policy options were formulated during the Carter administration—an era markedly different from the present one—so that many of the policies discussed in the book are of a somewhat academic nature and suffer from a lack of relevance to the world of today. The reference in the book to the Office of Water Research and Technology—which has been abolished in the meantime by Secretary of the Interior James Watt—is a case in point.

The book is rich in valuable summary tables, and, although it is written by 10 authors, it reads very smoothly. The authors should be complimented on producing a unified document on diverse and complex subjects. Finally, the impressive list of references should be most valuable to those interested in pursuing the subject further. Other related reports on the subject include the following documents:

U.S. Department of Energy, *Institutional Constraints on Alternative Water for Energy*, DOE/EV/10180-1, November 1980.

U.S. Department of Energy, *Water Supply and Demand in an Energy Supply Model*, DOE/EV/10180-2, December 1980.

U.S. Department of Energy, *Water Quality Issues and Energy Assessment*, DOE/EV/10154-1, November 1980.

U.S. Department of Energy, *Ground Water and Energy*, CONF-810137, November 1980.

U.S. Department of Energy, *Water Related Planning and Design at Energy Firms*, DOE/EV/10180-1, November 1980.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

Yacov Y. Haines is with Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106.

